UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/579,643	05/18/2006	Stefan Parhofer	S118.12-0007	9374	
27367 7590 05/29/2009 WESTMAN CHAMPLIN & KELLY, P.A. SUITE 1400 900 SECOND AVENUE SOUTH MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			EXAMINER		
			HAYES, BRET C		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3641		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/29/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/579,643	PARHOFER ET AL	L.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	BRET HAYES	3641				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the	merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-7,10,11 and 13-23 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7,10,11 and 13-23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-7,10,11 and 13-23 is/are objected to 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the output of the property of the pro	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	, ,			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite				

Application/Control Number: 10/579,643 Page 2

Art Unit: 3641

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The use of the trademark Bluetooth™ has been noted in this application. It should be <u>capitalized</u> wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology (*emphasis* added).

Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.

Claim Objections

- 2. Claims 1 7, 10, 11 and 13 23 are objected to because of the following informalities: claims 1 and 23 -- it has been held that the recitation that an element is "adapted to" perform a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform: it does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense (*In re Hutchinson*, 69 USPQ 138). Examiner suggests replacing "adapted" with --configured-- in order to overcome the objection. Claim 2 -- "wireless" in line 2, should be --wirelessly--, and "blue tooth," is a trademark (see paragraph 1 above: the term must be capitalized and generic terminology must be included).
- 3. Note that the above examples are not an exhaustive listing. Applicants should revisit and revise all claims as necessary in light of the above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. Claims 1-7, 10, 11 and 13-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/579,643 Page 3

Art Unit: 3641

- 5. A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. See MPEP § 2173.05(c). Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in Ex parte Wu, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of Ex parte Steigewald, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); Ex parte Hall, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and Ex parte Hasche, 86 USPO 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claim 1 recites the broad recitation "a biometric sensor," and the claim also recites "preferably a fingerprint sensor," which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation. Also, claim 2 recites the broad recitation "the safety means and the transponder communicate with each other preferably wireless," and the claim also recites "more preferably by a bidirectional wireless signal transmission," "preferably based on a Challenge response algorithm," and the claims even further recite "even more preferably with a magnetic frequency of approximately 25kHz or via blue tooth interfaces."
- 6. Note that the above examples are not an exhaustive listing. Applicants should revisit and revise all claims as necessary in light of the above.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claim 1 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

8. Claims 2-7, 10, 11 and 13-23 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

- 9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record neither discloses nor fairly teaches the recited limitations of the claimed invention including, but not limited to the combination including: a transponder comprising a switch for activation for a given period of time, the switch comprising a biometric sensor; a safety means for a grip of a weapon activated by a user's hand, upon activation emitting a wireless request signal; the transponder emitting an authentication signal upon receipt of the request signal from the safety means; the safety means further receiving and processing the authentication signal from the transponder; and the safety means permitting firing only by the user upon receipt of the authentication signal from the transponder for either a given number of shots or a given period of time.
- 10. This statement is not intended to necessarily state all the reasons for allowance or all the details why the claims are allowed and has not been written to specifically or impliedly state that all the reasons for allowance are set forth (MPEP 1302.14).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Bret Hayes at telephone number (571) 272 – 6902 or email address bret.hayes@uspto.gov, which is preferred. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 5:30 am to 2:00 pm, Eastern Standard Time.

The Central FAX Number is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/579,643 Page 5

Art Unit: 3641

If attempts to contact the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Carone, can be reached at (571) 272 – 6873.

/Bret Hayes/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3641

28-May-09